

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/519,761

Applicant(s)

KELLY ET AL.

Examiner

Shean C. Wu

Art Unit

1756

## All Participants:

(1) Shean C. Wu.

(2) Mr. Bradshaw.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 April 2007

Time: 1:45 PM

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24-26

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*In claims 24-26 correct the R group to OR. In claims 25 and 26, the configurations R and S are inserted to distinguish the structure. In Claim 28 and 32, the typographical errors for "10µm" and "Liquid Crystal Display" have been corrected.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)